IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AM	ERICA,)				
	Plaintiff,))				
V .)	No.	06 (C	5089
HARVEY L. TEICHMAN,	et al.,)				
	Defendants.)				

MEMORANDUM ORDER

In response to this Court's oral directive to counsel for codefendant U.S. Bank, N.A. ("U.S. Bank") at the last status hearing, including a recommendation that he read the Appendix to State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co. v. Riley, 199 F.R.D. 276 (N.D. Ill. 2001), counsel has filed U.S. Bank's First Amended Answer to the Corrected Second Amended Complaint in this action. That pleading has regrettably failed to heed the admonition in App. ¶1 of the State Farm opinion that understandably emphasizes the need for a pleader to disclaim a belief in the correctness of a complaint's allegations if the pleader expects to get the benefit of a deemed denial. Accordingly U.S. Bank's counsel must again return to the drawing board to correct the flawed disclaimers promptly, once again without cost to the client.

Willan D Shaden

Milton I. Shadur

Senior United States District Judge

Date: April 26, 2007